

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

LOLITA DUGLAS,
Plaintiff

v.

MARK HOANG, DMD,
Defendant

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 21-CV-3905

ORDER

AND NOW, this 14th day of September, 2021, upon consideration of Lolita Douglas's Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 1), and *pro se* Complaint (ECF No. 2), it is

ORDERED that:

1. Leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
2. The Complaint is **DEEMED** filed.
3. Ms. Douglas's Complaint is **DISMISSED IN PART WITH PREJUDICE AND IN PART WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) for the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum as follows:
 - a. All federal claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as frivolous under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).
 - b. All state law claims are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
4. The Court certifies that any appeal from the dismissal of Ms. Douglas's federal law claims is not taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3)(A).
5. The Clerk of Court shall **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:



GENE E.K. PRATTER, J.